

Corporate Parenting Board - Performance Scorecard

Data to: 30 September 2018 V1 to Corporate Parenting Board

This scorecard provides an overview of current performance using high level performance indicators and activity data to support a range of other intellience, including a summary report finalised after discussion at the relevent meeting. Performance is reported year to date, with comparison to previous year (England, Statistical Neighbours) and target. This intelligence should be used to help us to understand how well we are safeguarding and improving outcomes for children and young people. How much have we done?, how well have we done it? and have we made a difference?

Direction of travel based on June (Q1) to September (Q2) 18

INIEASORES 2015 2016 2017-18 2017-18 2017 Tra -16 -17 -17 Apr 18 May 18 Aug 18 Son 18 Q1 -18			
Apr-18 May-18 Jun 18 (ytd) Jun 18 (ytd) Aug-18 Aug-18 (ytd)	irection of Travel (vs ast period) Target achieved?	England SN	RESPONSIBLE GROUP MANAGER
Looked After Children - Number, Admissions, and Discharges			
LAC1 Number of Looked After Children at period end RISE 627 645 637 638 630 638 642 627 621 621 620	↓ ×	72,670 5,065	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC2 Rate of Looked After Children per 10,000 at period RISE 96.3 97.5 96.3 96.5 95.2 96.5 94.9 97.1 94.8 93.9 93.9 95.2	↓ ×	60 86.9	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC3Number of Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children at period endRISE58999101087889n/a	↓ n/a	n/a n/a	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC4 Number of LAC admissions (SB) RISE 185 186 211 11 8 18 37 16 9 14 76 reduce	↑ ×	32,810 1,945	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC5 Rate of LAC Admissions per 10,000 (SB) RISE 29.1 28.1 32 20.0 14.5 32.7 22.4 29.0 5.4 8.5 34.5 reduce	↑ ×	27.6 31.7	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC6Number of children ceasing to be looked after (BB)RISE1641682191016103612242092increase	↑ ✓	31,250 1,800	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC7 Rate of children ceasing to be looked after per 10,000 RISE 25.6 25.4 33 18.1 29.0 18.1 21.4 21.8 14.5 12.1 27.8 increase increase	↑ ✓	27.4 31.6	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
Looked After Children - Placements			
LAC7 % LAC 3 or more placements in yr (SB) Image: SB 8.5 7.1% 8.9% 7.1% 6.3% 6.1% 6.1% 5.0% 5.0% 5.0% 8.5	↓ ✓	10 n/a	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC8 Long Term Stability of LAC (% in care 2.5 years in same placement 2 years) (BB)	^ ×	68% n/a	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC9 % placed out of borough and more than 20 miles from where they used to live (SB) 11.3% 14.9% 12.9% 12.6% 12.6% 12.9% 12.4% 12.4% 12.4% 12.0% 11.8% 12.5% 11.8% 13.0%	↓ ✓	13% 15%	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC10 % placed out of borough (SB) 47% 48.7% 45.4% 45.4% 45.3% 46.1% 46.6% 46.1% 46.8% 45.4% 46.8% 45.4% 45.4% 45.4%	¥ ×	39% 42%	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC11 Number of children placed at home who are subject WSCB 49 54 51 56 60 62 62 62 60 60 62 62 60 60 62 62 60 60 62 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	→ ×	n/a n/a	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
Looked After Children - Care Planning, Reviews and Visits			
LAC12 % statutory visits undertaken to timescale in the period (i.e. In accordance with childs plan) (BB) 86.2% 78.5% 87.8% 87.6% 91.6% 89.0% 89.4% 89.3% 84.8% 86.9% 88.3% 95%	↓ ×	n/a n/a	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC15 $\begin{bmatrix} V & Looked After Children reviews carried out within timescales (BB) & V & V & V & V & V & V & V & V & V & $	•	n/a n/a	Debbie Silvester
LAC16 % LAC reviews where child's views recorded (BB) 96.8% 97.6% 100%	→ ✓	n/a n/a	Debbie Silvester
LAC17 $\begin{pmatrix} N \ LAC reviews where the child attended the review \\ (BB) \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} IAC reviews where the child attended the review \\ IB \ IC \$	↑ n/a	n/a n/a	Debbie Silvester
LAC19 % Looked after children allocated to a qualified social worker (BB) 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100	→	n/a n/a	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
Looked After Children - Attainment			
KS2 combined Reading writing and maths - working	n/a ×	n/a n/a	Lorraine Thompson
at or above expected standard 01/0 28/0 32/0 Annual 01/0 03/0 Image: Standard Image: Standard Image: Standard Image: Standard 01/0 03/0	n/a × n/a √	n/a n/a n/a n/a	Lorraine Thompson
Looked After Children - Health	li/a	11/a 11/a	
	★	n/a n/a	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC24 Of all children looked after, the % who have had a review health assessments in timescale (BB) S1.6% 85.% 83.4% Quarterly 74.0% Quarterly 74.4% 90%	^	n/a n/a	Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC25 No. LAC receiving CAMHS services 40 n/a Quarterly n/a Quarterly n/a n/a n/a	n/a	n/a n/a	Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months continuously having annual health checks (BB) Image: Note of Children looked after for 12 months contingen looked after for 12 months continuously having ann	n/a	n/a n/a	Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
% children looked after for 12 months continuously	→ ×	n/a n/a	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC28 % of children looked after continuously for 12 months with teeth checked by a dentist (BB) 86.7% 85% 82.4% Quarterly 12.2% Quarterly 12.2%	^	n/a n/a	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC29 % of LAC for 12 months+, who have an identified substance misuse problem (SB) 4.6% 5.5% 6.4% Quarterly 7.0% Quarterly 7.0%	^	n/a n/a	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC30 SDQs (% completed & recorded to system) (BB) 61.8% 84% 95.6% 6.1% 13.3% 21.1% 21.1% 26.1% 29.1% 30.1% 90.0%	^		Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
LAC31 Average SDQ score (SB)	1	14 14.2	Donessa Gray , Jivan Sembi & Rita Homer
Looked After Children - Offending LAC32 % of children looked after continuously for 12 3.1% 1.5% 3.5% Quarterly 3.4% Quarterly 1.7% low is better	↓	n/a n/a	Jivan Sembi & Phil
LAC32% of children looked after continuously for 12 months who have offended (SB)3.1%1.5%3.5%Quarterly3.4%Quarterly1.7%low is betterLAC34Looked After Children subject to remand (SB)32110032000	 ▼ × 	n/a n/a n/a n/a	Rutherford Jivan Sembi & Phil Rutherford
LAC35 Looked After Children Discharges - sentenced to c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	→ n/a	n/a n/a	Jivan Sembi & Phil Rutherford
Care Leavers Number of Care Leavers Number of Care Leavers new reporting 201 206 201 201 200 199 199 n/a	↓		Jivan Sembi

				WALSALL PERFORMANCE											HOW ARE WE DOING?		LATEST BENCHMARK		
	PRIORITY THIS					2018-19									Direction of				RESPONSIBLE GROUP
		MEASURES	2015 -16	2016 -17	2017-18	Apr-18	May-18	Jun-18	Q1 Jun 18 (ytd)	Jul-18	Aug-18	Sep-18	Q1 Aug-18 (ytd)	2017 -18	Travel (vs last period)	Target achieved?	England	SN MANAGE	MANAGER
CL1	% Care Leavers age 19 to 21 not in suitable accommodation (SB)		10.2%	7.9	7.4	5.9	7.4	7.3	7.3	7.6	8.5	7.6	7.6	10%	1	\checkmark	7%	9%	Jivan Sembi
CL2	% Care Leavers age 19 to 21 not in suitable education, employment or training (SB)		57.7%	52.5	39.7	35.6	34.4	35.8	35.8	32.2	36.4	32.8	32.8	45%	¥	\checkmark	50%	51%	Jivan Sembi
Adoption	and Permanency																		
AD1	% Ceasing care due to being adopted (in Year)		19.5%	21.4%	21.5%	20.0%	31.3%	30.0%	27.8%	8.3%	8.3%	20.0%	18.5%	20%	¥	✓	14.8%	20.5%	Elizabeth Gosling
AD2	Number of children Adopted (ytd)		32	36	47	2	5	3	10	1	2	4	17	high is better	♠	×			Elizabeth Gosling
AD3	Number with a Placement Order		76	66	45	43	42	40	40	41	41	38	41		↑			525	Elizabeth Gosling
AD4	Number placed for Adoption		31	39	20	19	19	18	18	20	17	20	17		↓			230	Elizabeth Gosling
AD5	Of those adopted, Average time from Entering Care to moving in with adopted family (Days) (A1) (3-year average)(SB)		532	510	483	491	506	484	484	482	482	475	475	reduce	↓	~	558	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD6	Of those adopted, Average time between receiving court authority to place a child and deciding on a match to a family (Days) (A2) (3 year average) (SB)		200	199	199	222	224	212	212	212	211	210	210	reduce	↓	~	226	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD7	% Children who wait less than 14 months between entering care and moving in with their adoptive family (A3) (3- year average) (BB)		53%	53%	58.4% (78/136)	58.1% (61/105)	57.5% (61/106)	57.4% (62/108)	57.4% (62/108)	58.0% (65/112)	58.6% (68/116)	59.0% (69/117)	59.0% (69/117)	increase	1	~	47	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD8	Adoptions from care (% leaving care who are adopted) (A4) (3-year average) (BB)		17.0%	18.3%	21.1%	21.3%	21.7%	21.9%	21.9%	21.6%	20.9%	20.8%	20.9%		↓		16	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD9	Number of children for whom permanence decisions has changed away from adoption (3-year average) (A5)		10 (6%)	25	26	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23		→		3520 (14%)	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD10	Adoptions of children from ethnic minority backgrounds (% of BME children leaving care who are adopted) (3-year average) (A6)		22 (11.6%)	25 (13.9%)	30 (17.0%)	27 (21.4%)	27 (21.1%)	29 (21.0%)	29 (21.0%)	29 (21.0%)	29 (19.8%)	29 (18.8%)	29 (19.8%)		→		2,330 (9%)	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD11	Adoptions of children aged five or over (% of children aged 5 or over leaving care who are adopted) (3-year average) (A7)		28 (7.9%)	23 (6.6%)	23 (6.6%)	14 (5.4%)	18 (6.6%)	18 (6.5%)	18 (6.5%)	18 (6.3%)	18 (6.0%)	19 (6.1%)	18 (6.0%)		→		3,180 (5%)	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD12	Average length of care and supervsion proceedings (s31) locally (weeks) (3 year average) (A8) (SB)		31	36	31	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc	tbc		→		31	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD13	Number of children waiting to be placed for adoption (A9) (SB)		49	29	27	28	29	26	26	26	23	22	22		¥		3,960	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD14	Of those adopted, Average time between a child entering care and moving in with its adoptive family, adjusted for foster care adoptions (3-year average) (A10)		494	501	482	498	512	499	499	499	494	501	494		↓		490	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD15	Number of approved adoptive families at snapshot date (A11)		5	9	4		Quarterly		7	Available a	fter the ALB retu submitted	rn has been	tbc		→		2,530	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD16	Proportion of adoptive families who were matched to a child in the year who waited more than 3 months from approval to being matched to a child (A12) (SB)		80	30	82		Quarterly		100	Available a	fter the ALB retu submitted	rn has been	tbc		→		73	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD17	Number of applications to become an adoptive family still being assessed (not yet approved or rejected) as at 31 March (A13)		19	9	21	Quarterly			20	Available after the ALB return has been submitted			tbc		→		1,730	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD18	Number of children waiting to be placed for adoption with a placement order (as at period end) (A14)		40	25	19	21	21	20	20	17	18	18	18		↓		2,410	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD19	Number of new ADM decisions in period (A15)		60	34	37	1	1	3	5	1	1	1	8		^		4,310	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling
AD20	Number of new placement orders granted in period (A16)		37	30	29	3	1	2	6	0	3	1	10		↑		3,590	n/a	Elizabeth Gosling

KEY TO SYMBOLS AND NOTES

Direction of Travel

- improved in performance if bigger is better
- improved in performance if smaller is better
- ➔ Static Performance
- deteriorated in performance if bigger is better
- deteriorated in performance if smaller is better
- A Black arrows up or down indicate that neither high nor low is good/poor, but there are a range of factors that will determine if an increase or decrease is appropriate. This applies to activity data mainly

Themes – measuring the end to end journey of the child

The following icons are used denote measuring specific elements:

S Measures that indicate timeliness and help to identify Drift and Delay

Revolving Door – measuring children coming back into the system at key stages

Needs of children and young people – reasons we are providing services