

REGENERATION, ENVIRONMENT, HOUSING & COMMUNITY SAFETY SCRUTINY & PERFORMANCE PANEL

Agenda Item No.

6

DATE: 21 JANUARY 2004

REGENERATION, HOUSING AND THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT – DRAFT REVENUE BUDGET 2005/06 – 2009/10

Ward(s) All

Portfolios: Cllr A. Andrew – Regeneration

Cllr M. Longhi – Environment

Cllr M. Pitt – Housing and Community Safety

Cllr J.G O'Hare – Resources & Performance Management

Summary of report:

This report presents the draft revenue budget for the Regeneration, Housing and Built Environment directorate for 2005/6 to 2009/10 to enable it to be considered by scrutiny before cabinet's final recommendations to Council in February. This enables cabinet to receive comments from the five scrutiny panels before making final recommendations to Council.

Background papers:

Various financial working papers.

Approved MTFS – Cabinet 10 November 2004

Revenue Support Grant Provisional Settlement - Cabinet 22 December 2004.

Reason for scrutiny:

The report has been brought to scrutiny in order to provide members of the panel with an opportunity to assess the 2005/06 draft budget proposals for Regeneration, Housing and Built Environment. Members can then make recommendations to cabinet regarding these proposals, for consideration on ?? February, before making final recommendations to Council

Recommendations

Members are asked to:

- Note the report
- Forward comments and recommendations in respect of the draft budget to Cabinet at its meeting in February, for consideration in making their recommendations to Council.

Signed:	
oigiica.	

Executive Director: Carole Evans

Date: January 2005

Resource and legal considerations:

The proposed revenue budget for the Regeneration, Housing and Built Environment directorate for 2005/06 is £35.122 m. The budget provides for additional investment in areas highlighted as priorities by Members. In particular the investment choices in this report are targeted at:

- Maintaining the council's commitment to meeting the costs of waste disposal and funding the expansion of the garden waste collection scheme.
- promoting urban and economic regeneration,
- enhancing the Council's provision of community safety projects
- increasing investment in private sector housing through the use of the council's capital programme

Citizen impact:

The budget is aligned with service activity in service plans. Investment has been targeted at service improvement, delivery of the council's vision of excellence by 2008 and service-user demand. A stable financial position ensures activity is targeted on service delivery and improvement.

The services that report to this scrutiny panel are amongst the most 'visible' and widely used aspects of local authority activity, and as such budgetary decisions have a significant impact on the availability of important services, for the local community. They also make a considerable contribution to the wider Black Country/West Midlands region.

Environment impact:

Regeneration, Housing and the Built Environment includes ærvices that make a significant contribution to the council's environmental activities. Investment bids for 2006/06 onwards include a number targeted at improving these services. Investment is also targeted at supporting the physical regeneration of the local environment, as well as introducing new services to meet environmental legislation and promote sustainability.

Performance Management and Risk Management Issues

The council annually reviews corporate financial planning and budget principles. In November, Cabinet approved an updated medium term financial planning strategy and framework. This report provides the latest draft corporate budget for 2005/6 onwards. As part of the budget setting process, a corporate financial risk assessment is undertaken to determine key risks, and their impact on the budget.

Regular financial monitoring reports are presented to management teams and Cabinet.

The efficiency review will require the delivery of annual efficiency targets of 2.5%. Detailed guidance is awaited on how this will be measured and assessed. The MTFS and financial planning processes will need to be updated to reflect the requirements of the review.

Equality Implications:

Services have regard to equalities in setting budgets and delivering services. The 2004/5 budget included investments that promoted equality and inclusion. Further bids have been received for 2005/6 onwards.

Consultation:

Managers of all levels are responsible for delivering targets and service outputs within budget. With their teams they are involved in constructing the base budget, bidding for investment and in working up savings options. EMT and Cabinet receive regular budget updates. Consultation on the budget takes place more widely, including with key stakeholders. A draft budget was considered by Cabinet at a budget decision conference on 30 November and the outcomes on 6 and 9 December and recommendations arising from that event are contained in a separate report. All scrutiny panels will receive the draft revenue budget 2005/6+ for comment during January 2005.

Vision 2008:

The budget and each investment bid and saving is assessed as to its contribution to the delivery of the Council's vision.

Contact Officer:

Paul Simpson – Head of Finance (Regeneration, Housing & Built Environment)
101922 652102
101922 652102
101922 652102
101922 652102

1. GENERAL FUND FINANCIAL POSITION 2004/05

1.1 The latest forecast out-turn as at 31.03.05 for the Regeneration, Housing and Built Environment Directorate is shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1: 2004/5 Estimated Outturn Regeneration, Housing & Built Environment								
	2004/05							
	£M							
Net Revenue Budget	30.709							
Net Revenue Budget Forecast revenue outturn	30.292							
Over/(Underspend) @ 31.03.04	0.417							

1.2 The £0.417m underspend, is mainly attributable to underspends on staff vacancies and additional income from fees and charges.

2. REVENUE BUDGET PROCESS

The budget process is based on an annual cycle and activity takes place throughout the financial year, commencing 1 April each year.

2.1 <u>Budget Guidelines and Underlying Principles</u>

2.1.1 General fund budgets have been prepared in line with approved budget guidelines, updated for actual changes as required. The draft budget provides for full inflation, pay awards and contractual inflation, as indicated in **table 2**.

Table 2: Cost increase assumptions within Draft Budget 2005/6 to 2009/10											
Year 2005/6 2006/7 2007/8 2008/9 2009/10											
Assumption	%	%	%	%	%						
Pay awards	2.95%	2.95%	3%	3%	3%						
Contract related cost increases	A s	p e r	СО	n t r	a c t						
Water increases	7.6%	3.1%	2.1%	1.8%	1.2%						
Energy increases	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%						
General inflation	2.1%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%						

2.2 Overall Strategy

- 2.2.1 The budget has been constructed in accordance with the council's established and approved medium term financial strategy and all relevant corporate financial protocols. The key practical outcomes in terms of Regeneration, Environment, Housing and Community Safety are:
 - A focus on a policy-led, medium term, risk assessed budget setting approach using corporate priorities established by cabinet.
 - Prudent and appropriate use of the prudential code to support capital investment proposals, where affordable and sustainable, using unsupported borrowing.
 - Inflationary and other cost pressures recognised in the budget.

2.2.2 The wider corporate impacts of the budget process are outlined in the corporate budget report, which also appears on tonight's agenda. This along with the draft capital programme 2005/6 to 2007/8 was also reported to the special cabinet meeting which took place on 19 January.

3. REGENERATION, HOUSING AND BUILT ENVIRONMENT - DRAFT BUDGET 2005/06

The following sections detail the draft revenue budget for 2005/06.

3.1 Investment bids

- 3.1.1 The 2004/5 revenue budget included significant funding for service improvement, customer demand, legislative changes, and to meet target performance levels. The 2005/6 draft budget seeks to further build on the improvements delivered in the last two years, with investment bids totalling £0.620m to support continued improvement in these priority areas. In addition, new investment bids totalling £0.350m are currently assumed to be funded, as they have been identified as a very high priority by Cabinet at the budget conference on 30.11.04 or by EMT as being essential to meeting legislative requirements and/or to meeting performance targets. Investment included in the draft budget is set out as follows:
 - **Appendix 1a** Full year effect of bids approved in 2004/5 budget of £0.620m.
 - **Appendix 1b** Priority A bids totalling £0.350 m.

3.2 Efficiency Savings and Service Realignment

3.2.1 Senior managers have identified economies and efficiencies where considered achievable without changes in approved policy and/or an adverse impact on service delivery. Reviews if fees and charges have also taken place. Savings and increased income of £1.565m are shown in detail at **Appendix 2** and are included in the figures within this report. Removal of any saving would increase the draft council tax and percentage increase that has been proposed in the corporate budget report. Additional savings would reduce it.

3.3 Summary Draft budget

3.3.1 Table 3 shows the net result of the above issues - a draft net revenue budget of £35.122 m. A detailed service revenue analysis is provided at **Appendix 3**.

Table 3: Draft Net Revenue Budget Regeneration, Housing & Built Environment									
Item	Base £M	Comments							
Base budget	35.717	Inc. inflation & budget refresh							
New Investment	0.970	See appendix 1							
Identified savings	(1.395)	See appendix 2							
Additional income from fees & charges	(0.170)	See appendix 2							
Net Revenue Budget	35.122	See appendix 3							

4. CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

- 4.1 The draft mainstream capital programme (also on this evening's agenda) includes a significant number of investment proposals totalling £22.957m for Regeneration, Environment, Housing & Community Safety (£3m of which relates to Corporate Property). This compares to a capital programme for 2004/05 of £10.466m. Appendix 4 shows the detailed schemes for the Regeneration, Housing and Built Environment Directorate. The major schemes are as follows:
 - £7.162m for investment in highways and transportation via the Local Transport Plan (LTP), in addition to the Councils commitment of £3m via prudential borrowing
 - In excess of £3m for investment in private sector housing via renovation grants and housing market renewal
 - £1.2m for environmental improvements and town centre/district centre regeneration
 - £3m for works to Daw End Mine (which is also expected to lever in £15m of external funding)
 - £1m for a 'regenerating Walsall fund'
- 4.2 The revenue implications of the capital programme are contained within the council's draft revenue budget. The corporate budget also includes the revenue implications of the cost of unsupported borrowing through the prudential code.

5. MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL OUTLOOK

- This council has had a longstanding commitment to medium term financial planning, and has adopted a five year outlook in recent years. The annual process requires services to calculate a provisional forecast budget for the financial years to 2009/10. Draft forecasts have been calculated in line with service activity and the policy led approach advocated by the medium term financial strategy. This is manifested in practice through decision conferencing, the strategic choices regime and in directorate challenge exercises. A detailed summarised analysis of future years forecasts is shown in **Table 4**.
- 5.2 The forecasts include the full year effects of changes identified in 2005/06 and inflationary and other known pressures. The approach adopted in setting the budget, of matching spend and investment to priorities will be further developed in the new financial year. Resulting service realignments will impact on the scenarios below.

Table 4: Estimated Revenue Budget 2006/07 to 2009/10 Regeneration, Community Safety & Environment									
	Preliminary 2006/07	Preliminary 2007/08	Preliminary 2008/09	Preliminary 2009/10					
Basic Forecast Identified Savings Investment Choices	£M 37.734 (1.985) 1.750	£M 39.416 (1.985) 2.200	£M 40.503 (1.985) 2.655	£M 41.972 (1.985) 3.110					
Draft Forecast incl. investment choices	37.499	39.631	41.172	43.096					

5.3 The information in this section provides a baseline assessment of likely resources and spending pressures for Regeneration, Housing and Built Environment. This will be developed further in the new financial year, with reports being brought forward to both senior officers and members.

6. SUMMARY

- 6.1 The figures in this report represent a draft revenue budget for Regeneration, Housing and the Built Environment of £35.122m. The key issues are:
 - Funding for continued improvement of £0.620 m to support priority areas.
 - Additional investment of £0.350m focussed at front line services and key developments.
 - Savings and additional income of £1.565m.
 - Full provision for the various pay awards, routine and contractual inflation and currently estimated demands, legislative changes and trends.
- 6.2 In addition to revenue expenditure, there is a further £19.957m of capital investment in roads, housing and urban regeneration, some of which is a further expansion of the council's use of the prudential borrowing powers. These schemes are expected to be either 'self financing' over the medium term or will lever in significant amounts of external funding.
- 6.3 Service managers must continue to exercise extremely sound budget management. As last year, managers will be expected to formally sign up to their annual budgets and any investment and reductions allocated to them. They will be responsible for ensuring they deliver their target service outputs within budget. This will be monitored by line managers through the performance management framework. Effective budget management is one of the councils' key performance indicators.

7. <u>LIST OF APPENDICES</u>

- List of items funding improvement, demand and unavoidable commitments
- 2. List of other funded investment choices
- 3. List of savings and additional income
- 4. Detailed service revenue analysis

SERVICE AND CORPORATE INVESTMENTS 2005/6 FULL YEAR IMPACT OF APPROVED 2004/5 INVESTMENT

INVESTMENT No.	STRATEGIC CHOICE / INVESTMENT BID		ANNU	JAL NET (соѕт		DETAILS OF HOW THE INVESTMENT MEETS THE	CONSEQUENCES / RISK OF NOT GOING AHEAD							
INVEST		2005/06 £000	£000	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	COUNCIL'S VISION PRIORITIES	NOT GOING AREAD							
	REGENERATION, HOUSING AND BUILT ENVIRONMENT														
Α	Increase in landfill tax Landfill tax will increase by £1 per tonne in 2004/05 and thereafter annually by £3 per tonne until a ceiling of £35 per tonne is reached.	380	770	1165	1570	1970	1 "ensure a clean and green	Spending on landfill is unavoidable and without growth the existing budget would be overspent. Impact on BVPI 86 and 87							
В	Disposal of garden refuse Contractual arrangements will see the introduction of a gate fee for the disposal of green waste. The cost assumes arrangements continue following the end of the existing contract (2006/07). Tenders for this work have also being sort via the Black Country Waste Management Forum.	160	240	240	240	240	Contributes to vision 2008 priority 1 "ensure a clean and green borough".	Potential impact on BVPI 82b, 82d, and 87. Overspend on existing provision for waste disposal. Impact on statutory recycling and composting target (24% - 2005/06).							
С	Expansion of garden waste collection scheme Full year effect of brown bin expansion programme 03/04 (22,000) and 04/05 (7,000)	40	40	40	40	40	Improved performance on BVPI 82b and 82d. Contributes to vision 2008 priority 1. 'ensure a clean and green borough'.								
D	Anticipated growth in tipping Growth has averaged 1.9% over the past three years.	40	80	125	170	215	Contributes to Vision 2008 Priority 1 "ensure a clean and green borough".	Overspend on existing budget provision for waste disposal.							

_								APPENDIA 1a
INVESTMENT No.	STRATEGIC CHOICE / INVESTMENT BID		ANNU	JAL NET (COST		DETAILS OF HOW THE INVESTMENT MEETS THE	CONSEQUENCES / RISK OF
INVESTI		2005/06 £000	2006/07 £000	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	COUNCIL'S VISION PRIORITIES	NOT GOING AHEAD
E	Disposal of tyres Approved growth in 2003/04 £20K. Anticipated increase in disposal costs associated with tyres directive which bans the disposal at landfill sites from 2006/07.	0	40	40	40		Contributes to vision 2008 priority 1. 'ensure a clean and green borough'.	Contribution towards achievement of statutory recycling target and landfill diversion targets.
F	Mainstream community safety unit The authority is required by Section 17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1988 to implement community safety policies.	0	65	65	65		This investment will assist the authority to deliver on its priorities included within its vision statement. In particular helping to ensure that all people are safe and secure.	The authority is required by section 17 of the Crime & Disorder Act 1998 to implement community safety policies.
	Total Regeneration, Housing & Built Environment	620	1,235	1,675	2,125	2,570		

SERVICE AND CORPORATE INVESTMENTS NEW INVESTMENT - PRIORITY "A"

ENT No.			ANNU	AL NET	соѕт		DETAILS OF HOW THE INVESTMENT	CONSEQUENCES / RISK OF NOT GOING
INVESTMENT	STRATEGIC CHOICE / INVESTMENT BID	2005/ 06 £000	2006/ 07 £000	2007/ 08 £000	2008/ 09 £000	10	MEETS THE COUNCIL'S VISION PRIORITIES	AHEAD
	REGENERATION, HOUSING AND BUILT ENVIRONI	MENT						
17	Cemeteries - shortfall in income Net impact of the revised charging policy on cems and crems which will generate a further £90k per annum.	10	10	10	10	10		Inaccurate base budget.
19	Loss of income from car parks Parking lost as a result of the town centre transport package (TCTP) and Shannon's Mill development.	90	240	240	240		The TCTP and Shannon's Mill development are vital to maintaining the vitality and viability of the town centre.	The loss of these two schemes would not only result in the loss of some £80m of inward investment, but would have considerable impact upon the vitality and viability of the town centre and borough as a whole.
	Increase in number of properties requiring domestic refuse collections Growth in number of domestic properties.	10	15	25	30	40		
22	Expansion of garden waste collection scheme Full year effect of brown bin expansion programme 03/04 (22,000) and 04/05 (7,000)	90	90	90	90		Improved performance on BVPI 82b and 82d. Contributes to vision 2008 priority 1 'ensure a clean and green borough'.	Not achieving statutory performance target at 24% (2005/06).
24	Fall-out of ERDF/ESF for urban regeneration Mainstreaming of 2 posts currently funded via ERDF/ESF which falls out in 2005/6.	45	45	45	45		The economic development team will make a significant contribution to strengthening the local economy.	Insufficient budgetary provision for the economic development team will restrict its ability to develop strategies for addressing the need to strengthen the local economy.

								APPENDIX ID	
INT NO			ANNU	AL NET	COST		DETAILS OF HOW THE INVESTMENT	CONSEQUENCES / RISK OF NOT GOING	
INIVECTMENT NO	STRATEGIC CHOICE / INVESTMENT BID	2005/ 06 £000	2006/ 07 £000	2007/ 08 £000	2008/ 09 £000	2009/ 10 £000	MEETS THE COUNCIL'S VISION PRIORITIES	AHEAD	
	25 Energy efficiency officer Requirement by GOWM to undertake energy efficiency work, there is no one undertaking this work for the council, we have managed to get away with this so far but we need to take a more focused approach to energy efficiency work and do not have an officer with this skill and knowledge to undertake such work.	30	40	40	40	40	officer will help more vulnerable people live at home and help the council meet the	We must do energy efficiency work, failure to employ a specialist officer could impact on our housing inspection score and on meeting our 2008 vision.	
	27 Expansion of the gold standard across other district centres To extend the gold standard into the district centres of Willenhall, Darlaston, Bloxwich, Aldridge and Brownhills on a phased basis to commence in 2005/06.	75	75	75	75	75		Inconsistent standards of cleaning in town centres.	
	Total Regeneration, Housing & Built Environment	350	515	525	530	540			

SERVICE AND CORPORATE INVESTMENTS NEW INVESTMENT - SAVINGS / INCOME GENERATION

SAVING / INCOME NO	STRATEGIC CHOICE / INVESTMENT BID		ANNUA	AL NET	COST		DETAILS OF SAVING / INCOME GENERATION / RISKS IN IMPLEMENTING
SAVI		2005/6 £000	2006/7 £000	2007/8 £000	2008/9 £000	2009/10 £000	
	REGENERATION, HOUSING AND BUILT E	NVIRONM	IENT				
3	Restructure of planning & transportation	(70)	(70)	(70)	(70)	(70)	Reshaping of service area will generate savings
4	Review of IT budgets	(45)	(45)	(45)	(45)	(45)	Re-prioritisation of budgets to minimise cuts in front-line services
5	Highways PFI	(70)	(140)	(140)	(140)	` ,	Options appraisal being undertaken and budget reprofiled for 05/6
6	Waste disposal savings	(75)	(75)	(75)	(75)	(75)	Budget re-profiling
7	Vacancy management - Increase to 5%	(350)	(350)	(350)	(350)	` '	Re-prioritisation of budgets to minimise cuts in front-line services
8	Community regeneration - management restructure	(30)	(30)	(30)	(30)	(30)	Re-shaping linked to programme management
9	Review of public conveniences provision	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	` ,	This option will involve moving to a mobile attended service for all public conveniences but will not involve any closures.
10	Supported workshop	0	(350)	(350)	(350)	(350)	Closure of workshop and transfer of staff to links to work
11	Reduction in supplies & services etc budgets	(300)	(300)	(300)	(300)	` ,	Re-prioritisation of budgets to minimise cuts in front-line services
12	Increase in planning fees budget	(50)	(50)	(50)	(50)		Budget re-profiling
13	Review of lease concessions	(20)	(20)	(20)	(20)	` ′	Review of charges to tenants incl. community / voluntary groups

APPENDIX 2

SAVING / INCOME NO	STRATEGIC CHOICE / INVESTMENT BID	2005/6	ANNU.	AL NET		2009/10	DETAILS OF SAVING / INCOME GENERATION / RISKS IN IMPLEMENTING
		£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	
14	Car parking income	(70)	(70)				Budget re-profiling
15	Disinfestation income	(20)	(20)			` '	Budget re-profiling
16	Works in default income	(10)	(10)	, ,	` ´	<u> </u>	Budget re-profiling
new	Review of section 11/82 costs	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	` ,	All claims should be settled by 31.03.05 so no further budget is expected to be required.
new	Review of leasing costs re: former housing vehicles	(105)	(105)	(105)	(105)		Leasing costs of former housing vehicles now transferred to WHG - budget no longer required
new	CCTV/community safety	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)		A reduction in budgetary provision for CCTV/community safety activity due to the availability of NRF funding via the community safety commission (note that NRF funding is expected to fall out March 06).
new	Review of unitary development plan (UDP)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)		The UDP is inspected once every 3/4 years as such this budget is not fully required in the next financial year but will need to be reinstated in future years.
new	Realignment of housing and regeneration budgets	(15)	(15)	(15)	(15)	(15)	Re-prioritisation of budgets to minimise cuts in front-line services
	Total Regeneration, Housing & Built Environment	(1,565)	(1,985)	(1,985)	(1,985)	(1,985)	

Service Area Built Environment Asset Management	Proposed Budget 2005/2006 £ 5,102,074 145,292
Asset Management	
	145,292
Built Environment Management	
Planning & Transportation	9,781,355
Public Protection	2,076,140
Street Pride	12,764,698
Total Built Environment	29,869,559
<u>Urban Regeneration</u>	2,183,300
Community Regeneration & Housing	
Community Safety Unit	1,272,466
Retained Housing	1,426,909
Walsall Borough Strategic Partnership	111,622
Neighbourhood Management	105,566
Programme Management	221,992
Total Community Regeneration & Housing	3,138,554
Service Improvement	526,000
2005/6 Full Year Impact Of Approved 2004/5 Investment (Appendix 1a) New Investment - Priority "A" (Appendix 1b) New Investment - Savings / Income Generation (Appendix 2) Total RHBE	620,000 350,000 (1,565,000) 35,122,414

SCHEMES REQUIRED TO GO AHEAD

Directorate	Officer	Project	Total score	Cap 2005/06	Cap 2006/07	Cap 2007/08	Cap 2008/09	Cap 2009/10
Regen&Env	Graham Fry	LTP	90	7,162,000	14,735,000	20,094,000	20,094,000	20,094,000
		Supported Borrowing allocations		7,162,000	14,735,000	20,094,000	20,094,000	20,094,000
Regen&Env	Sue Byard/Mark Wade/Mike Woodhouse	Private Sector Renovation Grants	90	2,790,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000
		Rolling Programme Musts		2,790,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000
Regen&Env	Stuart Wootton	Shop Maintenance - PMI	90	110,609	112,821	115,077	117,379	0
		LSVT Planned use of receipts		110,609	112,821	115,077	117,379	0
Regen&Env	Steve Pretty	Highway maintenance	90	3,000,000	0	0	0	0
Regen&Env	Steve Pretty	Highway maintenance	90	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000
		Unsupported Borrowing from 2004/5		3,160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000
Regen&Env	Andy Hill	Access for Disabled (Buildings)	90	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	0
Regen&Env	Andy Hill	Asbestos Removal	90	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	0
Regen&Env	Steve Pretty	Public Lighting PFI	90	200,000	200,000	200,000	0	0
Regen&Env	Steve Lewis	Environmental regeneration capital programme	85	225,000	230,000	240,000	250,000	250,000
Regen&Env	Steve Lewis	Quality Streets	85	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000
Regen&Env	Steve Lewis	Central revitalisation areas	85	250,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000
Regen&Env	Sue Byard/Mark Chaplin	Housing Market Renewal	80	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000
Regen&Env	Sue Byard/Mike Woodhouse	Stock Condition Survey	80	50,000	0	0	0	0
		2004/05 Programme Commitments		2,125,000	2,180,000	2,190,000	2,000,000	1,700,000
ITEMS SC	ORED AS HIGH PRIORITY FO	OR FUNDING - RECOMMENDED TO G	O AHEAD					
	Lyn Reed	OR FUNDING - RECOMMENDED TO G Alley Gating	O AHEAD 85	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways	85 84	150,000	350,000	500,000	500,000	250,000 500,000
Regen&Env	Lyn Reed	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy	85	150,000 210,000	350,000 0	500,000	500,000	500,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways	85 84	150,000	350,000	500,000	500,000	,
Regen&Env Regen&Env	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy	85 84	150,000 210,000	350,000 0 600,000	500,000 0 750,000	500,000	500,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env Regen&Env	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy New Schemes Total Recommended for Funding Category	85 84	150,000 210,000 610,000	350,000 0 600,000	500,000 0 750,000	500,000 0 750,000	500,000 0 750,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env Regen&Env	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis Bob Onions AINSTREAM CAPITAL PROG	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy New Schemes Total Recommended for Funding Category	85 84 83	150,000 210,000 610,000 610,000	350,000 0 600,000	500,000 0 750,000 750,000	500,000 0 750,000 750,000	500,000 0 750,000 750,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env Regen&Env	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis Bob Onions AINSTREAM CAPITAL PROG	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy New Schemes Total Recommended for Funding Category	85 84 83	150,000 210,000 610,000 610,000	350,000 0 600,000	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 26,309,077	500,000 0 750,000 750,000	500,000 0 750,000 750,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env Regen&Env TOTAL MA	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis Bob Onions AINSTREAM CAPITAL PROG ENDED FOR FUNDING VIA P	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy New Schemes Total Recommended for Funding Category RAMME RUDENTIAL CODE UNSUPPORTED E	85 84 83 BORROWING	150,000 210,000 610,000 610,000 15,957,609	350,000 0 600,000 600,000 20,787,821	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 26,309,077	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 26,121,379	500,000 0 750,000 750,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env Regen&Env TOTAL MA RECOMM Regen&Env	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis Bob Onions AINSTREAM CAPITAL PROG ENDED FOR FUNDING VIA P Steve Pretty	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy New Schemes Total Recommended for Funding Category RAMME RUDENTIAL CODE UNSUPPORTED E Daw End mine	85 84 83 BORROWING 95	150,000 210,000 610,000 610,000 15,957,609 3,000,000	350,000 0 600,000 600,000 20,787,821	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 26,309,077	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 26,121,379	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 25,704,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env Regen&Env TOTAL MA RECOMM Regen&Env Corporate	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis Bob Onions AINSTREAM CAPITAL PROG ENDED FOR FUNDING VIA P Steve Pretty Andrew Hill	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy New Schemes Total Recommended for Funding Category RAMME RUDENTIAL CODE UNSUPPORTED E Daw End mine Major repairs to non-education premises	85 84 83 80RROWING 95 85	150,000 210,000 610,000 15,957,609 3,000,000 3,000,000	350,000 0 600,000 20,787,821 0 2,000,000	500,000 750,000 750,000 26,309,077 0 1,500,000	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 26,121,379 0 1,250,000	500,000 750,000 750,000 25,704,000 0 1,000,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env TOTAL MA RECOMM Regen&Env Corporate Regen&Env	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis Bob Onions AINSTREAM CAPITAL PROG ENDED FOR FUNDING VIA P Steve Pretty Andrew Hill Tim Johnson	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy New Schemes Total Recommended for Funding Category RAMME RUDENTIAL CODE UNSUPPORTED E Daw End mine Major repairs to non-education premises Regenerating Walsall programme (self funding) Prudential Code - Unsupported Borrowing	85 84 83 80RROWING 95 85 84	150,000 210,000 610,000 610,000 15,957,609 3,000,000 3,000,000 1,000,000 7,000,000	350,000 0 600,000 600,000 20,787,821 0 2,000,000 1,000,000 3,000,000	500,000 750,000 750,000 26,309,077 0 1,500,000 1,000,000 2,500,000	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 26,121,379 0 1,250,000 1,000,000 2,250,000	500,000 750,000 750,000 25,704,000 0 1,000,000 1,000,000 2,000,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env TOTAL MA RECOMM Regen&Env Corporate Regen&Env	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis Bob Onions AINSTREAM CAPITAL PROG ENDED FOR FUNDING VIA P Steve Pretty Andrew Hill Tim Johnson	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy New Schemes Total Recommended for Funding Category RAMME RUDENTIAL CODE UNSUPPORTED E Daw End mine Major repairs to non-education premises Regenerating Walsall programme (self funding) Prudential Code - Unsupported Borrowing GRAMME INCLUDING PRUDENTIAL C	85 84 83 80RROWING 95 85 84	150,000 210,000 610,000 610,000 15,957,609 3,000,000 3,000,000 1,000,000	350,000 0 600,000 600,000 20,787,821 0 2,000,000 1,000,000	500,000 750,000 750,000 26,309,077 0 1,500,000 1,000,000	500,000 750,000 750,000 26,121,379 0 1,250,000 1,000,000	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 25,704,000 0 1,000,000 1,000,000 2,000,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env TOTAL MA RECOMM Regen&Env Corporate Regen&Env	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis Bob Onions AINSTREAM CAPITAL PROG ENDED FOR FUNDING VIA P Steve Pretty Andrew Hill Tim Johnson	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy New Schemes Total Recommended for Funding Category RAMME RUDENTIAL CODE UNSUPPORTED E Daw End mine Major repairs to non-education premises Regenerating Walsall programme (self funding) Prudential Code - Unsupported Borrowing GRAMME INCLUDING PRUDENTIAL C	85 84 83 BORROWING 95 85 84	150,000 210,000 610,000 610,000 15,957,609 3,000,000 3,000,000 1,000,000 7,000,000	350,000 0 600,000 600,000 20,787,821 0 2,000,000 1,000,000 3,000,000	500,000 750,000 750,000 26,309,077 0 1,500,000 1,000,000 2,500,000	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 26,121,379 0 1,250,000 1,000,000 2,250,000	500,000 750,000 750,000 25,704,000 0 1,000,000 1,000,000 2,000,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env TOTAL MA RECOMM Regen&Env Corporate Regen&Env	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis Bob Onions AINSTREAM CAPITAL PROG ENDED FOR FUNDING VIA P Steve Pretty Andrew Hill Tim Johnson	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy New Schemes Total Recommended for Funding Category RAMME RUDENTIAL CODE UNSUPPORTED E Daw End mine Major repairs to non-education premises Regenerating Walsall programme (self funding) Prudential Code - Unsupported Borrowing GRAMME INCLUDING PRUDENTIAL C	85 84 83 BORROWING 95 85 84 ODE	150,000 210,000 610,000 610,000 15,957,609 3,000,000 1,000,000 7,000,000	350,000 600,000 600,000 20,787,821 0 2,000,000 1,000,000 3,000,000	500,000 750,000 750,000 26,309,077 0 1,500,000 1,000,000 2,500,000 28,809,077	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 26,121,379 0 1,250,000 1,000,000 2,250,000 28,371,379	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 25,704,000 0 1,000,000 1,000,000 2,000,000 27,704,000
Regen&Env Regen&Env Regen&Env TOTAL MA RECOMM Regen&Env Corporate Regen&Env TOTAL M SUMMARY RHBE Corproate	Lyn Reed Steve Lewis Bob Onions AINSTREAM CAPITAL PROG ENDED FOR FUNDING VIA P Steve Pretty Andrew Hill Tim Johnson IAINSTREAM CAPITAL PROG OF MAINSTREAM PROGRAMME	Alley Gating Strategic corridors and gateways Enforcement strategy New Schemes Total Recommended for Funding Category RAMME RUDENTIAL CODE UNSUPPORTED E Daw End mine Major repairs to non-education premises Regenerating Walsall programme (self funding) Prudential Code - Unsupported Borrowing GRAMME INCLUDING PRUDENTIAL C	85 84 83 BORROWING 95 85 84 ODE	150,000 210,000 610,000 610,000 15,957,609 3,000,000 1,000,000 7,000,000 22,957,609	350,000 0 600,000 600,000 20,787,821 0 2,000,000 1,000,000 3,000,000 23,787,821	500,000 750,000 750,000 750,000 26,309,077 0 1,500,000 1,000,000 2,500,000 28,809,077	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 26,121,379 0 1,250,000 1,000,000 2,250,000 28,371,379	500,000 0 750,000 750,000 25,704,000 0 1,000,000 1,000,000 2,000,000 27,704,000

Directorate	Officer	Project	Total score	Cap 2005/06	Cap 2006/07	Cap 2007/08	Cap 2008/09	Cap 2009/10	
COLLENIE	COLLEMES DECOMMENDED FOR A DESERVE LIST TO COMMENCE IF FUNDING DECOMES AVAILABLE								

SCHEMES RECOMMENDED FOR A RESERVE LIST TO COMMENCE IF FUNDING BECOMES AVAILABLE

		New Schemes - Reserve List		403,000	2,611,000	2,842,000	3,390,000	3,220,000
Regen&Env	Steve Law	Disposal Support	70	75,000	0	0	0	0
Regen&Env	John Grant	Relocation of analytical laboratory	70	45,000	0	0	0	0
Regen&Env	Steve Billings	Streetly Cemetery - phase B	74	0	131,000	0	0	0
Regen&Env	Steve Billings	Willenhall Lawn cemetery entrance	73	30,000	0	0	0	0
Regen&Env	Steve Billings	Streetly Cemetery - phase A	74	33,000	0	0	0	0
Regen&Env	Sue Byard/Mark Chaplin	Housing Market Renewal - Expansion	80	0	1,650,000	1,650,000	1,650,000	1,650,000
Regen&Env	Steve Billings	Memorial Safety	80	70,000	0	0	0	0
Regen&Env	Kwame Alex-Eyitene	Energy conservation improvements	80	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000
Regen&Env	Steve Pretty	Bridge Strengthening	80	0	680,000	1,042,000	1,590,000	1,420,000

SCHEMES NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING AT THIS TIME

Regen&Env	Sue Byard/Mark Chaplin	BME needs survey	65	30,000	0	0	0	0
Regen&Env	Steve Law	Corporate Training Centre - Manor Farm	65	800,000	0	0	0	0
Regen&Env	Lyn Reed	Delves car park improvement	64	75,000	0	0	0	0
Regen&Env	Janet Read	Computerisation of land charges register	55	100,000	50,000	0	0	0
		Unsupported Schemes		1,005,000	50,000	0	0	0

Total Bids submitted	24.366	26.449	31.651	31.761	30.924
Unsupported Schemes	1.005	0.05	0	0	0.000
Reserve List	0.403	2.611	2.842	3.390	3.220
TOTAL MAINSTREAM DCP	22.958	23.788	28.809	28.371	27.704
Prudential Code - Unsupported Borrowing	7.000	3.000	2.500	2.250	2.000
Total Recommended for Funding Category	0.610	0.600	0.750	0.750	0.750
New Schemes - Reserve List	0.610	0.600	0.750	0.750	0.750
Total Must Support Category	15.348	20.188	25.559	25.371	24.954
2004/05 Programme Commitments	2.125	2.180	2.190	2.000	1.700
Unsupported Borrowing from 2004/5	3.160	0.160	0.160	0.160	0.160
LSVT Planned use of receipts	0.111	0.113	0.115	0.117	-
Rolling Programme Musts	2.790	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000
Supported Borrowing allocations	7.162	14.735	20.094	20.094	20.094

check 0 0 0 0