

NOTICE TO THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE CALL-IN OF CABINET DECISION BY COUNCIL MEMBERS

DATE

Walsall Council Decision by Council MEMBERS	
We, the undersigned members, under the provisions of paragraph 17(c)(ii) of the Scrutiny and Performance Panel Rules, request the Chief Executive to invite the Chairman of the: Scrutiny and Performance Panel to call in the	
following item considered by Cabinet:	
Item number:	
Report title: THE FURDLE OF SMETO COMMUNITY - A SPECIALIST MARTS & COMBO	سو (الحرث
Date of Cabinet meeting: MONDAT ISTH JULY ZOOG	
for consideration by the above named Scrutiny and Performance Panel for the following reasons:	
1 Name AN SHILES - Signature	
2 Name Doren Petices Signature	
3 Name PETE Hagges Signature	
4. Name VAL WOODKUFF	
Signature Valara Library	
5. Name John Gook	
Signature Al-Cook	

Note 1: Paragraph 17(c)(ii) of the Scrutiny and Performance Panel Rules enables 5 Members of the Council (not being members of the relevant Scrutiny and Performance Panel) to give notice to the Chief Executive to invite the Chairman of the relevant Scrutiny and Performance Panel to exercise the powers of call-in for the reasons set out in the request

Note 2: This form should be completed and returned to the Cabinet Office within 4 working days of the date of publication of the decision notice.

 The Future of Sneyd Community - A Specialist Maths and Computing College

We wish to call in the Cabinet decision on The Future of Sneyd Community – A Specialist Maths and Computing College taken on 15 July 2009 for the following reasons:

We believe that the decision was taken using information that was out-of date. For example much of the information regarding admissions across the borough fail to take the economic climate into account, the recession will see a reduction in children attending private schools putting increased pressure on the state sector

We believe the decision was taken without looking holistically at impacts other than education, for example Sneyd is the largest employer in the area but the increase in unemployment and its ramifications were not taken into account

We believe around 600 responders to the consultation had their views ignored as there was no option for an 11-19 Academy on the consultation. Many people therefore did not choose one of the options which led to a council officer to describe parents as not supporting the school.

We would like to see a full and proper consultation with an 11-19 Academy option being included.

At no point has anyone been able to satisfactory explain why an 11-19 Academy run by a Trust is not a viable option.