



DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE: –

21 May 2008

REPORT OF DIRECTOR FOR REGENERATION

**REVOCATION OF TREE PRESERVATION ORDER 39 OF 2006 ON
WILLENHALL HEALTH CENTRE, FIELD STREET, WILLENHALL WV13
2NZ.**

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

To seek the revocation of the Tree Preservation Order No 39 of 2006.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee is recommended to:

- (i) Revoke the Walsall Tree Preservation Order No 39 of 2006
- (ii) Support the reason for revoking the Tree Preservation Order set out in the report detail, paragraph 1.2 of this report.

3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Minimal - administration costs within existing budgets.

4. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Within Council policy – YES

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

None.

6. EQUAL OPPORTUNITY IMPLICATIONS

Not applicable.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

None.

8. WARD(S) AFFECTED

The Tree Preservation Order 39 of 2006 is located within Willenhall South Ward.

9. CONSULTEES

Owners and near neighbours will be sent copies of the revocation documents for information.

10. **CONTACT OFFICER**

Cameron Gibson - Extension: 2453

11. **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

File PD1/17/742 relating to Tree Preservation Order No 39 of 2006.

Simon Tranter,
HEAD OF REGENERATION – DEVELOPMENT AND DELIVERY

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE: –

21 May 2009

**REVOCATION OF TREE PRESERVATION ORDER 39 OF 2006 ON
WILLENHALL HEALTH CENTRE, FIELD STREET, WILLENHALL WV13
2NZ.**

1.0 REPORT DETAIL.

- 1.1 The Tree Preservation Order No 39 of 2006 was confirmed on 10th October 2006.
- 1.2 Subsequently this Order was found to be inaccurate. As a result, TPO 23 of 2008 was made and confirmed by the Development Control Committee on 31st March 2009. Due to an oversight, the committee was not asked to revoke TPO 39 of 2006. Therefore, the purpose of this report is to seek approval for this TPO to be revoked to ensure that only one TPO protects the trees on this site.

The main differences between the two TPOs are as follows;

1. TPO 39 of 2006 covered 25 individual trees.
2. TPO 23 of 2008 covers 21 trees. The 4 trees that have not been included in this TPO are either missing or are of poor shape, form and condition.
3. TPO 23 of 2008 reflects, more accurately, the trees that are considered worthy of inclusion in a TPO and ensures the data is as up to date as possible.

TPO 23 of 2008 also reflects the pre-application discussions to alter the layout of the car park and main building, which would have resulted in a significant number of tree losses.

The maps for each TPO have been attached for comparison.

- 1.3 The Committee is therefore recommended to revoke Tree Preservation Order No 39 of 2006.