# EDUCATION & CHILDREN'S SERVICES OVERVIEW &SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Agenda Item No.

# 19 April 2016

# **Walsall Self-Assessment of Services (Performance Data)**

Ward(s) All

**Portfolios**: Councillor E Hughes – Care and Safeguarding

#### Recommendations

- Panel Members provide feedback on whether or not the identified measures meet their needs to enable their challenge and scrutiny of key performance indicators across the Children's Services Directorate.
- Panel Members identify key areas for exploration, scrutiny and further information in preparation for future meetings.
- Panel members note the strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by this performance report.

## **Purpose**

- To provide an overview of the performance management framework in operation across the Children's Services Directorate.
- To outline the suggested performance information for regular provision to Scrutiny Panel and receive feedback on its suitability.
- To identify key areas requiring further improvement or development.

### **Background**

The performance management framework in operation in Walsall Children's Services is that of a tiered hierarchy – where lower volume, high level information is provided to strategic leaders and a greater volume of more detailed information is used at operational levels.

This is typified by the diagram below:

Increase in strategic impact on the outcomes of children

- 1) Success measures of Improvement Strategy: LA level measures around children's outcomes and key high level / priority measures. (E.g. Children's Social care, School Improvement SEND)
- 2) Scorecards of the highest level measures broken down into subcategories or for specific Govenance Bodies: e.g. Scrutiny Panel, WSCB, Corporate Parenting Board, Education Challenge Board.
- 3) Strategic Action Plan (SAP) trackers: RAGged progress and impact of the actions agreed as contributing towards the Improvement Strategy e.g. School Improvement, SEND, Children's Social care
- 4) Service delivery plans provide detailed operational improvement actions; Data Dashboards contain detailed data and tailored reports support management information needs at child, worker and team level.



Increase in volume of information

For each of the key areas there is a Strategic Improvement Plan: for School Improvement this has been agreed by the Education Challenge Board, for Children's social care there is a refreshed version in development with a formal Children's Social Care and Safeguarding Performance Board established and the first meeting of the SENDI Transformation Boardtakes place in May 2016 to progress improvements in the quality of provision and outcomes for children and young people with Special Educational Needs.

### **Scorecard Introduction**

The draft Scrutiny panel scorecard is attached **at Appendix 1**. The main part of this agenda item will be to run through this scorecard and outline the suggested content and to receive queries and feedback about what may be useful to add/remove or amend.

The key purpose of the scorecard provided to the Education and Children's Services Overview and Scrutiny Panel is to:

- Enable monitoring, challenge and scrutiny of progress around high level improvement needs and prioritise action.
- Support selection of areas for closer scrutiny by Panel Members
- Identify areas requiring "deep dive" work where lower level and more detailed information would be appropriate.

# **Priority areas:**

From the current scorecard (Quarter 3, Dec 2015), it is clear that many of the areas show progress and improvement. It must be noted that this is only one aspect of the ways in which we consider performancealongside qualitative measures from regular monitoring and audit of practice. For exampleit may be that an assessment is completed well within timescales, but that does not guarantee its quality and impact on improving outcomes for children and young people.

# **Significant improvements:**

- Improved pupil attainment and progress compared to 2014; the gap with national is being closed on many of the educational attainment measures
- Improved timescales of adoptions
- Improved performance in social workers sharing their reports with families before child protection conferences in the timescale required. Some other agency reports to child protection conferences are not routinely being completed and shared with families before the conference
- Good performance in completing Care proceedings within 26 week timescale
- Many high level measures show improvement and the quality is being monitored to ensure quantitative improvements are matched with qualitative outcomes. Implementation of the MASH, Early Help Hub and Threshold Training is having a positive impact on beginning to reduce re-referral rates. Some further improvement is required to ensure consistent recording and reporting.

From the scorecard and wider information sources, the key areas to note where further improvements are sought:

## **School Improvement:**

- Continue to improve pupil attainment and progress, particularly for children at the end of Primary School
- Gaps in attainment for pupils with Special Educational Needs and Disabilities at primary and secondary schools
- Proportion of schools judged by Ofsted as being good or better
- Persistent absence and fixed term and permanent exclusions which haveincreased in some schools over the Autumn Term

### Child in Need/Child Protection and Looked after Children's Services:

# Significant improvements

- Improved timelinessof assessments
- Good performance in adoption
- Improvement in the completion rate of Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) which assess the mental health and emotional wellbeing of LAC. More work is required to ensure they are completed regularly for all LAC to inform the annual health assessment
- Improved Care Leavers' access to Education, Employment or training opportunities and suitable accommodation
- Good performance in relation to Court timescales for care proceedings

**Areas for improvement**- Audit findings show that the quality of practice and recording is not consistent enough and in some cases is not analytical enough about risk and the needs of the child and young person.

## **Areas for improvement** - Child in Need/Child Protection

Better understanding of re-referral rates that are improving

- Full chronologies are alwayscompleted on all children
- Assessments are always evidence based and analyse risk
- · Plans are always current and outcome focused
- Managers always provide effective management oversightwhich is recorded on the child's case record
- Improve the quality of social work intervention with Children in Need to prevent escalation of cases to Child Protection or LAC
- Caseloads are too high in some parts of the service
- Over reliance on costly agency staff in children's social care continued efforts to recruit sufficient experienced social workers and managers and retainand develop Newly Qualified Social Workers is having more impact
- Embed effective use of Mosaic across the service

## **Areas for improvement** - Looked After Children (LAC)

- Safe reduction of children needing to become Looked After
- Increase the number of children achieving permanency through Special Guardianship Orders
- Encourage Family and Friends Carers to apply for Special Guardianship Orders where appropriate
- Reduce number of children being placed at home with their parents on Care Orders
- Improve Care planning to ensure best permanency option is considered and progressed for children

## Authors:

Karen Marcroft Head of QA and Performance Information

Tel: 01922 650342

Email: Karen.marcroft@walsall.gov.uk

Debbie Carter

Assistant Director: Social Care and Safeguarding

Tel No: 01922 652756

Email: Debbie.carter@walsall.gov.uk